

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/665,780	09/20/2000	Herman Chien	1999-0804	6102
30083	7590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
PERKINS COIE LLP/AWS			NGUYEN, DUC MINH	
P.O. BOX 124 SEATTLE, W	98111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
52. ,			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/665,780	CHIEN, HERMAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Duc Nguyen	2643			
	The MAILING DATE of this communica					
Period for			·			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC/ ensions of time may be available under the provisions of a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	-	-			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	1				
9)[The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Toroign priority under 60 0.0.0.	3 113(a)-(a) or (i).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of					
	application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* (See the attached detailed Office action t	or a list of the certified copies no	t received.			
			·			
A 44. •		•				
Attachmer		, C	Summer (DTO 440)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
rape	Troughwall Date	6)	·			

Application/Control Number: 09/665,780

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ensor et al (5,721,780) in view of Hartmaier (6,553,022).

Consider claim 1. Ensor teaches a network (fig. 1) that utilizes a modem (step 300, figs. 3) for processing calls made through the network, comprising a server (service bureau 108, figs.1 and 3; column(s) 3, line(s) 31-50; column(s) 4, line(s) 51 to column(s) 6, line(s) 6); a search module (transaction manager 114, figs. 3) for searching a database (112, figs. 3). Ensor, however, does not teach a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module.

Hartmaier teaches a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module (col. 4, ln. 54 to col. 6, ln. 10; especially col. 5, ln. 50 to col. 6, ln. 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Hartmaier into the teachings of Ensor in order to allow for revenue sharing between the data network service providers and the company operating the nodes which provided the physical connection to the data network.

Consider claims 2-4. Hartmaier's fig. 1-3, and col. 4, ln. 54 to col. 6, ln. 10 read on the limitations of claims 2-4.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,780

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claims 5, 9, 13, 17. Ensor further teaches the network comprises a wireless network (column(s) 3, line(s) 31-50).

Consider claim 6. Ensor teaches a network (fig. 1) that utilizes a modem (step 300, figs. 3) for processing calls made through the network, comprising a server (service bureau 108, figs. 1 and 3; column(s) 3, line(s) 31-50; column(s) 4, line(s) 51 to column(s) 6, line(s) 6); a search module (transaction manager 114, figs. 3) for searching a database (112, figs. 3). Ensor, however, does not teach a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module.

Hartmaier teaches a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module (col. 4, ln. 54 to col. 6, ln. 10; especially col. 5, ln. 50 to col. 6, ln. 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Hartmaier into the teachings of Ensor in order to allow for revenue sharing between the data network service providers and the company operating the nodes which provided the physical connection to the data network.

Consider claims 7-8. Hartmaier's fig. 1-3, col. 4, ln. 54 to col. 6, ln. 10 read on the limitations of claims 7-8.

Consider claims 10-12. Ensor teaches a network (fig. 1) that utilizes a modem (step 300, figs. 3) for processing calls made through the network, comprising a server (service bureau 108, figs. 1 and 3; column(s) 3, line(s) 31-50; column(s) 4, line(s) 51 to column(s) 6, line(s) 6); a search module (transaction manager 114, figs. 3) for searching a database (112, figs. 3). Ensor, however, does not teach a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module.

Hartmaier teaches a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module (col. 4, ln. 54 to col. 6, ln. 10; especially col. 5, ln. 50 to col. 6, ln. 10).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/665,780

Art Unit: 2643

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Hartmaier into the teachings of Ensor in order to allow for revenue sharing between the data network service providers and the company operating the nodes which provided the physical connection to the data network.

Consider claim 14. Hartmaier further teaches the user places a telephone call via a modem pool (modem pool 210 or 260; fig. 2).

Consider claims 15-16. Ensor teaches a network (fig. 1) that utilizes a modem (step 300, figs. 3) for processing calls made through the network, comprising a server (service bureau 108, figs. 1 and 3; column(s) 3, line(s) 31-50; column(s) 4, line(s) 51 to column(s) 6, line(s) 6); a search module (transaction manager 114, figs. 3) for searching a database (112, figs. 3). Ensor, however, does not teach a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module.

Hartmaier teaches a billing aggregator module, a correlating module and a billing module (col. 4, ln. 54 to col. 6, ln. 10; especially col. 5, ln. 50 to col. 6, ln. 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Hartmaier into the teachings of Ensor in order to allow for revenue sharing between the data network service providers and the company operating the nodes which provided the physical connection to the data network.

Consider claim 18. The method as taught by Ensor in view of Hartmaier is inherently performed for each of multiple users of the network who would like to access an ISP via a modem pool.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/665,780

Art Unit: 2643

Page 5

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-6000.

Duc Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643

11/25/04